Drugs in focus

Drug users and the law in the EU

A balance between punishment and treatment

Drug laws in the European Union (EU) seek continuously to strike a balance between punishment and treatment.

The three United Nations (UN) conventions on drugs [1] limit drug use exclusively to medical or scientific purposes. While they do not call for illicit use of drugs to be considered a crime, the 1988 Convention — as a step towards tackling international drug trafficking — does identify possession for personal use to be regarded as such.

Signatory countries are thus obliged to address the illegal possession of drugs for personal use, but retain their individual freedom to decide on the exact policies to be adopted. In framing their national laws, EU Member States have interpreted and applied this freedom taking their own characteristics, culture and priorities into account, while maintaining a prohibitive stance. The result is a variety of approaches EU-wide to illicit personal use of drugs and its preparatory acts of possession and acquisition.

Yet, when comparing law with actual practice, national positions within the EU seem less divergent than might be expected. In many countries, judicial and administrative authorities increasingly seek opportunities to discharge offenders, or, failing that, arrangements that stop short of severe criminal punishment, such as fines, suspension of a driving licence, etc.

Nevertheless, data show that police action against drug users is rising — possibly due to greater drug prevalence [2] — and varies both within and between countries. Moreover, some cases of illicit personal use of drugs do continue to reach the courts and prison sentences are still given, especially to repeat offenders. Such inconsistencies in applying the law can confuse the public and affect the credibility of the legal system relating to personal drug use.

An EMCDDA Insights publication, Prosecution of drug users in Europe: varying pathways to similar objectives [3] focuses on the issue in considerable depth and offers individual country reports.

Quote: ‘While drug-related arrests are on the increase — with police resources concentrated on tackling cannabis users — justice systems in most countries increasingly seek opportunities to discharge drug offenders, apply “soft” sanctions or consider criminal measures as a last resort. The message we send citizens — especially the young — is confusing and often contradictory. An effective prosecution policy on drugs needs to be more consistent and therefore more credible.’

MIKE TRACE, CHAIRMAN
EMCDDA MANAGEMENT BOARD

Key policy issues at a glance

1. The UN drug conventions leave countries room for manoeuvre to control illicit possession of drugs for personal use as they see fit, without rigidly defining specific punishments.

2. Within the EU, laws regulating personal use of drugs vary from country to country. In some, punishment includes prison sentences; in others, possession for personal use has been decriminalised in recent years.

3. Police action against illicit use and possession of drugs, although differing within and between countries, is generally increasing in the EU.

4. Prosecutors in most Member States now lean towards non-criminal sanctions for drug use and possession offences. But firm action, including imprisonment, is still the usual outcome for addicts who sell drugs or commit property crime, especially when they are reoffenders.

5. Alternatives to criminal prosecution — usually of a therapeutic or social nature — are now widely available across the EU, but their application and effectiveness vary.

6. Programmes offering alternatives to prosecution can benefit from coordination between the justice and health systems.

‘Relapse into drug abuse and crime is a common feature of drug addicts. Preventing and treating addiction, its causes and consequences can be difficult, time-consuming and costly — but this is the clear answer to breaking the expensive chain of drugs and crime.’

GEORGES ESTIEVENART
EMCDDA EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Drug users and the law — overview

1. UN conventions set the scene

International drug law is based on the UN conventions of 1961, 1971 and 1988 [1]. It was Article 3.2 of the latter that first required signatories to characterise possession of drugs for personal use as a criminal offence. But it subjugates this requirement to the principles and concepts of national legal systems, leaving countries leeway to decide on the exact policy to be adopted. As a result, signatories have not felt obliged to adopt uniform legal measures against those found in possession of drugs for personal use.

Moreover, the underlying philosophy of Article 3 of the 1988 Convention is improving the effectiveness of the criminal justice system in relation to international drug trafficking [4].

2. Drug laws vary but show signs of convergence

Laws regulating the use and possession for use of drugs vary considerably from one EU country to another. In some, the law prohibits such acts and allows prison sentences. In others, these acts are prohibited but sanctions tend to be lenient. The remainder do not consider drug use and possession for use as criminal offences.

Developments over the last five years show similar laws and guidelines emerging within Member States’ criminal justice systems in response to drug users — notably a move towards more lenient measures for personal drug use. Some countries now legitimise practices that had become common. In so doing, they bring the law into line with police and prosecution practice, thus enhancing the law’s credibility.

In Spain, Italy and Portugal, criminal sanctions do not apply to the possession of any drugs for personal use. Instead, sanctions tend to be administrative: a warning, fine or, particularly in Italy, suspension of a driving licence. In cases of addiction, treatment is required. Since 2001, Luxembourg law has envisaged only a fine for cannabis use and its transportation, possession and acquisition for personal use.

In Belgium, Denmark, Germany and Austria, laws and guidelines indicate that first offenders for illicit possession of drugs, especially cannabis, should not be punished. Instead, they are ‘invited’ to refrain from taking drugs in future, often with warnings and probation. In the Netherlands, possession for personal use of small amounts of cannabis is prohibited by law but tolerated under certain circumstances.

In Ireland, possession of cannabis is punishable by a fine on the first or second conviction but a sentence for imprisonment is possible from the third offence onwards.

Meanwhile, in the UK, a suggestion from the Home Secretary in 2001 that cannabis be reclassified as a “Class C” rather than “Class B” drug could render possession of cannabis for personal use a non-arrestable offence in the future.

In France, a 1999 directive recommends only a warning for drug-use offences specifically. Finally, in Greece, Norway, Finland and Sweden, the law prohibiting use is reported to be applied ‘to the letter’.

3. Police action on the rise

In several European countries, the principle of legality obliges the police to report for prosecution any offence of which they are aware. And research [3] suggests that most individuals suspected of offences of drug use or possession for use are, indeed, reported for prosecution.

But police action varies both within and between countries. Norway, Finland and Sweden consider targeted police action a significant deterrent to drug use. Elsewhere in Europe, issues of public order and nuisance determine police intervention in dispersing open drug scenes. On the whole, police action against drug use or possession is reported to occur ‘accidentally’ in the course of routine patrolling — or when drug use becomes too visible or too dangerous.

Data to 2000 show that, in many EU Member States, arrests for drug use and possession for use are on the rise [2]. In several countries, the majority of arrests for drug offences are for use or possession for use (see Figure 2), while offences of drug dealing or trafficking are...
cases, is not seen as sufficient to prevent criminal proceedings. Such offences usually lead to criminal sanctions, with repeat offenders liable to greater penalties.

5. Alternative measures gain ground

Alternatives to criminal prosecution, usually therapeutic or social, are now widely available across the EU, although their impact and quality still vary. Research shows that treatment of drug users in the criminal justice system can produce positive results [5], whether therapeutic, for drug dependence, or educational, for first-time use [6]. In some countries, such measures are under-used, due to legal constraints or general scepticism about their effectiveness. In others, treatment is the norm; in a few, its application is impeded by a lack of resources.

Countries where drug addiction is considered the real cause of a drug-related crime are more prepared to offer treatment instead of prosecution, even for more serious offences. Others are less lenient, with drug-related crimes leading automatically to imprisonment.

6. Justice and health: partnership is the key

When the appropriate treatment is readily available, includes a social and rehabilitative component, and involves a partnership between the justice and the health authorities, research shows that it can be cost-effective in reducing relapses into crime and drug abuse [7]. Crucial to this process is effective, well-organised cooperation between the justice and health systems at prosecution level, targeting the most appropriate response (and resources) to each individual.
Conclusions
Drug users and the law in the EU — policy considerations

This briefing summarises key aspects of, and trends in, the way the law treats drug users in the EU today, and indicates primary sources for further information. The EMCDDA believes the following points could form the basis of future policy considerations:

1. The underlying philosophy of the 1988 UN Convention, and its requirement to characterise possession of drugs for personal use as a criminal offence, relates more to strengthening the fight against international drug trafficking than to criminalising drug users.

2. While drug laws vary across the EU, there is a recent trend by Member States to attempt to bring the law into line with police and prosecution practices. This serves to strengthen the credibility of the law.

3. Effective police action in the field of drugs needs to be targeted primarily at the most harmful situations of drug-related crime.

4. In the case of drug use or possession, most Member States have implemented mechanisms to divert a high proportion of arrested users away from criminal punishment.

5. Where an arrested user is drug dependent, research indicates that diversion into treatment can produce significant health, social and crime-reduction benefits.

6. Close cooperation between justice and health agencies is recommended to ensure the effective management of diversion initiatives.

Key sources


Web information

Drug-law ‘Country profiles’
http://eldd.emcdda.org/databases/eldd_country_profiles.cfm

Decriminalisation in Europe?
Recent developments in legal approaches to drug use
http://eldd.emcdda.org/databases/eldd_comparative_analyses.cfm

Main trends in national drug laws

Data on arrests (EMCDDA 2001 Annual report data library)
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